

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/024,759	BRUIJNS, JOHANNES
Examiner	Art Unit	
Seyed Azarian	2627	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Seyed Azarian</u> .		(3) _____.
(2) <u>Robert P. Michal</u> .		(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>12 October 2005</u>		Time: <u>1.30 PM</u>
Type of Interview:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
N/A		
Claims discussed:		
1 and 16		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Discussed issues concerning the above claims, Applicant's attorney (Robert Michal) agreed to modify the claims (see examiner amendment for detail)</i>		
Part III.		
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)